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## Statement from Local Ward member

There are a number of issues I have in relation to this planning application, some of which are summarised below:

1. Ancient hedgerows abound this site. It is not acceptable for them to be destroyed with a view to being replanted - they cannot be made good and replaced if destroyed and must be protected against development and any works that may be permitted under Part 4 Class A of the Town and Country (General Permitted Development) Order 1995. The Wat's Dyke Heritage Trail also needs to be protected.
2. The proposal provides an inadequate level of onsite play and recreational space, contravening policy SR5 in the FUDP.
3. Utilising the usual methodology, Sychdyn has been identified by Education as being the relevant primary school for contributions. New Brighton Road has been assessed by Highways as being hazardous - it is an unlit lane, with no pavement or walkable verge. It has fast moving traffic, being a 60 mph zone. Neither Highways nor the developer has been either willing or able to put forward a scheme of work to facilitate a safe route to school. If granted, the Authority will be liable to fund free transport to Sychdyn Primary School. The proposal fails to comply with GEN1 and AC2 of the FUDP.
4. Concerns continue about the drainage available on this site. Following a hydraulic modelling assessment, Welsh Water have put forward some solutions which must be implemented before the existing drains can accommodate the number of proposed dwellings.
5. This site has previously been before the WG Planning Inspector and was rejected due to concerns about drainage issues.
6. NRW reports state that the proposal has the potential to cause disturbance to Great Crested Newts and/or loss or damage to their resting places. The developer is in breach of GEN1 and WB1 of the FUDP in that it has not demonstrated proper account of the European protected species.

Part of this proposed site is within the green barrier under the FUDP. It is also a candidate site under FLDP. Taking a holistic approach, I voted for the FLDP to proceed to the next stage of consideration by the WG Planning Inspector. Should this committee now accept this application in anticipation of a favourable decision on the FLDP, which is not guaranteed, they would deny residents their right to make representations at an independent inquiry, undermine the statutory process and make me question the integrity of the entire process.

Northop Ward has read and supports this statement.

## Statement form the Local Residents Group

## Statement

Highway Safety.
FCC have stated New Brighton Road has failed the safe routes to school assessment and cannot be considered as a suitable walking route, yet this proposed development will give 92 families direct access to this dangerous road which has no footpath between Sychdyn and New Brighton, vehicles regularly exceed the 30mph speed limit and is used by vehicles above the 7.5 t weight limit.

Ecology
Removal of trees and hedgerows
Disturbance of wild animals/destruction of bat breeding and roost site.
Possible disturbance of Great Crested Newts in close proximity to site
The above are non-compliant with Legislative Acts and Regulations (as detailed in New Brighton Residents Group objections submitted 19/09/2019)

Overdevelopment.
Massive over development on land the majority of which is outside the settlement boundary (UDP).
November 2014 Settlement Audit states New Brighton has 328 dwellings, since this date we have had an $11 \%$ increase due to new builds, an extra 92 houses would be in total a $39 \%$ increase, in a village that has limited facilities.

Active Travel Wales Act 2013
New Brighton not included in Active Travel Route Maps, no safe way to walk or cycle, 92 households forced to travel by car, contrary to the Councils green credentials and the Active Travel Act

Drainage
No detailed drainage plans for foul or surface water identifying that sufficient capacity exists.
Natural Resource Wales - Application site is high risk for surface water flooding
Site Disruption
Disruption for 3 to 4 years, giving highway safety concerns.

